International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences
Vol-11, Issue-4; Jul-Aug, 2026

Peer-Reviewed Journal
Journal Home Page Available: https://ijels.com/
Journal DOI: 10.22161/ijels

The Representation of Colonial Power in M. G. Vassanji's *The Book of Secrets*

Sujata Kumari[1], Dr. Sanjeev Tayal[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of English, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak, Haryana, India.
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of English, Baba Mastnath University, Asthal Bohar, Rohtak, Haryana, India.

Received: 21 Jun 2026; Received in revised form: 18 Jul 2026; Accepted: 23 Jul 2026; Available online: 28 Jul 2026
©2026 The Author(s). Published by Infogain Publication. This is an open-access article under the CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Abstract— *The present research paper examines the representation of colonial power in M. G. Vassanji's The Book of Secrets (1994), a significant postcolonial novel that revisits the colonial history of East Africa through the intertwined narratives of memory, history, identity, and power. Set against the background of British colonial rule in East Africa, the novel explores the ways in which colonial authority operates through administration, surveillance, cultural hierarchy, and the control of historical knowledge. Through the figure of Alfred Corbin, a British colonial administrator, and his secret diary, Vassanji presents colonial power not merely as political domination but also as the power to observe, record, classify, and represent the lives of others. The discovery and interpretation of Corbin's diary by Pius Fernandes further expose the limitations of official colonial records and raise questions about whose version of history is preserved and whose voices remain silenced. The novel also examines the complex position of Asian communities in colonial East Africa and challenges a simplistic division between colonizer and colonized. The experiences of marginalized characters further reveal the intersection of colonial authority with cultural and patriarchal structures. Drawing on postcolonial perspectives, the paper argues that Vassanji critically represents colonial power as a system that attempts to control both people and historical narratives. At the same time, the novel demonstrates that colonial authority cannot completely suppress memory, identity, and alternative interpretations of the past. Thus, The Book of Secrets becomes a significant literary exploration of colonial power and its continuing influence on the construction of history and identity.*

Keywords— ***Colonial Power, Colonialism, History, Memory, Identity, Postcolonial Literature, East Africa.***

One of the significant concerns of postcolonial literature is the representation of colonial power and its lasting impact on individuals, communities, cultures, and historical memory. Colonialism is not limited to political occupation or economic exploitation; it also involves the control of knowledge, language, representation, and historical narratives. Colonial authorities often possess the power to define the colonized, record their experiences, and construct official versions of history.

According to Homi K. Bhabha in *The Location of Culture,* (1994) "The colonial encounter is always an ambivalent process that produces both domination and resistance."

Postcolonial writers challenge these dominant narratives by bringing attention to silenced voices, fragmented memories, and alternative accounts of the past. M. G. Vassanji is one of the important contemporary writers whose fiction explores the complicated histories of East Africa, particularly the experiences of Indian diasporic communities within the larger framework of colonialism, migration, and cultural displacement. M. G. Vassanji's *The Book of Secrets*, published in 1994, is a significant novel that revisits the colonial past of East Africa. The narrative moves between the colonial period and the postcolonial present and centres on the discovery of a diary written by Alfred Corbin, a British colonial administrator. The diary

becomes an important historical document through which Pius Fernandes attempts to reconstruct events from the past. However, the novel does not present the colonial record as a complete or unquestionable source of truth. Instead, it reveals the limitations, silences, and subjective nature of colonial documentation. The novel is set within the complex historical and cultural landscape of East Africa, where Africans, Asians, Arabs, and Europeans encounter one another within the structures of colonial rule. Vassanji's representation of this multicultural society complicates the traditional binary of colonizer and colonized. The Asian community occupies an especially complex position, being connected to colonial economic and social structures while also experiencing marginalization and displacement. Through this complex social background, Vassanji presents colonialism as a system that influences different communities in different ways. The title *The Book of Secrets* itself is significant because the novel is deeply concerned with the relationship between knowledge and power. The diary of Corbin appears to preserve historical truth, but its secrets and silences demonstrate that no historical document can provide a complete account of the past. The act of writing itself becomes connected with power because the person who writes has the ability to determine which events are recorded and which remain unspoken. The present study therefore attempts to examine how colonial power is represented in Vassanji's The Book of Secrets. It focuses on colonial administration, the colonial gaze, the power of written records, historical memory, cultural identity, and the relationship between colonial and patriarchal authority. The paper argues that Vassanji represents colonial power as a system that seeks to control both physical spaces and the production of historical knowledge. However, through memory, interpretation, and the recovery of suppressed experiences, the novel also demonstrates the limitations of colonial authority.

The representation of colonial power in *The Book of Secrets* is initially visible through the structure of colonial administration and the figure of Alfred Corbin. As a British colonial administrator, Corbin represents the institutional authority of the colonial state. His position enables him to observe the people around him, record their activities, and exercise authority over the colonial environment. His official status gives him access to information and power that ordinary inhabitants of the region do not possess. Colonial administration depends upon systems of classification, surveillance, documentation, and regulation. The colonial administrator is therefore not simply an individual exercising personal authority but a representative of a larger political system that seeks to organize and control colonized territories. Corbin's position reflects the institutional nature of British colonial power, in which individual officials become instruments of a system of governance. Vassanji, however, does not represent colonial authority as completely stable or unified. The novel presents East Africa as a space in which different political and cultural forces interact. The presence of African, Asian, Arab, and European communities complicates the colonial situation and makes the relationship between power and identity more complex. The result is a representation of colonialism that moves beyond a simple division between the powerful colonizer and the powerless colonized. The novel's historical setting demonstrates that colonial power operates through complex relationships. Some communities may possess limited privileges within the colonial system while remaining marginalized in other ways. The Asian community, for instance, occupies an uncertain position between European authority and African society. This complexity allows Vassanji to explore colonialism as a structure of unequal power rather than as a simple relationship between two fixed groups.

Colonial power is also represented through the colonial gaze, particularly through Corbin's diary. As a colonial official, Corbin possesses the authority to write about the people and places under colonial rule. His written account therefore represents a particular version of East African reality. Michel Foucault's concept of power is equally significant. Foucault writes: "Power produces knowledge... power and knowledge directly imply one another." (Power/Knowledge, 1980).

The colonial gaze transforms people into objects of observation. The colonized are watched, described, classified, and interpreted, while the colonial administrator occupies the position of the observer. This unequal relationship between the observer and the observed reflects the larger power structure of colonialism. However, Vassanji does not allow the colonial gaze to remain unquestioned. The diary is later read by Pius Fernandes, who attempts to understand the meaning of the events recorded in it. Pius's reading introduces a new perspective and demonstrates that the colonial record can be interpreted differently by later generations. The novel therefore raises an important question about who has the authority to tell history. Corbin possesses the power to record events, but his account cannot represent the complete experiences of the people around him. His diary reflects his own position, knowledge, and limitations. Vassanji thus exposes the subjective nature of colonial representation and challenges the idea that colonial records provide an objective representation of the past.

The diary of Alfred Corbin occupies a central position in the novel and functions as a significant symbol of colonial authority. It represents the colonial desire to document and

preserve information. The written word appears to possess permanence and authority, and the diary initially seems to provide access to historical truth. However, the diary also contains gaps and secrets. Its existence raises questions about what has been recorded and what has been excluded. The diary cannot speak for everyone who lived through the historical period it describes, and the experiences of many individuals remain outside its pages. The title The Book of Secrets emphasizes the connection between knowledge and concealment. A secret is something that is known by some but hidden from others. In the context of colonialism, this becomes particularly significant because colonial power often depends upon unequal access to knowledge. The colonial administrator gathers information about the colonized, while the colonized may have limited access to the knowledge produced about them. Pius's interpretation of the diary challenges its authority. Instead of accepting the document as an unquestionable historical truth, he attempts to understand its silences and contradictions. His engagement with the diary demonstrates that historical documents must be read critically. Thus, the diary represents two opposing aspects of colonial power. On one hand, it symbolizes the authority of colonial knowledge and documentation. On the other hand, its incompleteness reveals the limitations of that authority. Vassanji thereby transforms the colonial document into an object of questioning and reinterpretation. History is one of the central concerns of The Book of Secrets. The novel demonstrates that history is not simply a collection of objective facts but is shaped by those who possess the power to record and interpret the past. Colonial authorities often create official historical narratives that privilege their own perspectives. Vassanji challenges such narratives by bringing together different forms of historical knowledge. The colonial diary exists alongside memory, personal experience, oral stories, and later interpretations. These different forms of knowledge create a fragmented picture of the past. The relationship between Pius and Corbin's diary is particularly important in this regard. Pius's attempt to reconstruct the past shows that historical truth cannot always be discovered through a single document. History must be interpreted through multiple perspectives. The novel therefore questions the authority of official colonial history. The colonial record may preserve certain events, but it cannot fully represent the experiences of those who were marginalized or excluded from the process of historical documentation. Vassanji's narrative technique reinforces this idea. The movement between different periods and perspectives creates a fragmented historical structure. The reader, like Pius, must reconstruct meaning from incomplete information. This narrative method challenges the linear and authoritative model of colonial history and encourages readers to recognize that history is often constructed through competing memories and interpretations.

Colonial power also influences the construction of cultural identity in the novel. East Africa is presented as a multicultural space in which African, Asian, Arab, and European identities intersect. Colonialism creates relationships of power between these different communities, but their identities cannot be reduced to simple categories. The position of the Asian community is particularly significant. The history of Indians in East Africa is connected with migration, trade, colonial economic structures, and cultural displacement. Vassanji's representation of this community demonstrates that the experience of colonialism is not uniform. The Asian characters occupy a complicated position within colonial society. They may benefit from certain aspects of the colonial system while simultaneously experiencing discrimination and insecurity. Their position therefore challenges the traditional assumption that individuals must belong entirely to either the colonizer or the colonized. Through this complexity, Vassanji presents identity as historically constructed and constantly negotiated. Colonial power attempts to classify individuals according to fixed categories, but the novel reveals that cultural identity is much more fluid. The representation of cultural identity in *The Book of Secrets* thus becomes a way of questioning colonial systems of classification. The novel demonstrates that individuals and communities cannot be understood through rigid colonial categories. The representation of colonial power is also connected with gender relations. The experiences of women reveal how colonial authority can intersect with patriarchal structures. Women may experience oppression not only because of colonial power but also because of social and cultural systems that regulate their bodies and choices. The novel's treatment of gender demonstrates that power operates at several levels. Colonial authority may control the larger political and social structure, while patriarchal authority influences the private lives of individuals. The female body can therefore become a site where different forms of power meet. Colonial and patriarchal systems may attempt to control women's identities and choices, while women themselves may resist these structures in different ways. Vassanji's representation of gender adds complexity to his treatment of colonialism. The novel does not present colonialism as the only source of oppression. Instead, it demonstrates how different systems of power can overlap and influence one another. This perspective is important because it shows that the effects of colonialism cannot be separated from questions of gender, culture, and social hierarchy. The novel thus presents colonial power as part of a larger network of

relationships that shape individual lives. It also suggests that resistance to power may emerge through personal memory, identity, and the refusal to accept dominant interpretations of history.

Silence is another significant aspect of colonial power in The Book of Secrets. Colonial power determines not only who can speak but also whose words are recorded and preserved. The absence of certain voices from historical records is therefore not accidental; it reflects unequal structures of power. The diary records some experiences but leaves others unrecorded. The secrets within the diary suggest that the historical past contains stories that have not been fully revealed. The novel's emphasis on silence therefore encourages readers to look beyond official records. Memory becomes an important alternative to official history. While colonial records attempt to create a fixed version of the past, memory remains personal, fragmented, and sometimes contradictory. Yet these characteristics also give memory its power because it allows individuals to preserve experiences that may not appear in official histories. Pius's investigation represents an attempt to recover meaning from these fragments. His search suggests that understanding the past requires attention not only to what has been recorded but also to what has been forgotten or deliberately excluded. The politics of silence therefore becomes an important element of colonial power. The ability to control historical memory is closely connected with the ability to control the meaning of the past. The representation of colonial power in *The Book of Secrets* is complex because Vassanji does not limit colonialism to political domination. Instead, he presents colonial power as a system that operates through administration, observation, documentation, cultural classification, and control over historical knowledge. The figure of Alfred Corbin represents the institutional power of colonial authority, while his diary represents the epistemological power of colonialism. Through writing, recording, and observing, the colonial administrator attempts to make the colonized world knowable and manageable. However, Vassanji simultaneously exposes the limitations of this power. The diary cannot provide a complete account of the past. Its gaps and silences reveal that historical knowledge is always partial. Pius's reading of the diary therefore becomes an act of questioning and reinterpretation. The novel also complicates the colonizer-colonized binary by presenting the multicultural history of East Africa. The experiences of Asian communities demonstrate that colonial identities are complex and often contradictory. The relationship between colonialism and gender further reveals how different forms of power intersect. Ultimately, the novel suggests that colonial authority may control institutions and official records, but it cannot completely control memory. The past continues to return through personal stories, fragmented recollections, and alternative interpretations. In this sense, the novel's narrative structure itself becomes a challenge to the authority of colonial history because it asks readers to reconstruct the past rather than simply accept a single official account.

CONCLUSION

The Book of Secrets is not merely a historical novel about colonial East Africa; it is a critical examination of the ways in which colonial power shapes history, memory, identity, and representation. Through the character of Alfred Corbin and his diary, M. G. Vassanji demonstrates that colonial authority operates not only through political administration but also through the power to observe, record, classify, and define. The diary symbolizes the authority of the colonial record, yet its secrets and silences expose the incompleteness of official history. Pius Fernandes's attempt to interpret the diary demonstrates that historical documents cannot be accepted as unquestionable sources of truth. The past must be reconstructed through multiple perspectives and forms of memory. Vassanji also complicates conventional understandings of colonialism by presenting the multicultural reality of East Africa and the complex position of Asian communities. The novel further demonstrates how colonial power intersects with gender and patriarchal structures, affecting individuals in different ways. The study concludes that Vassanji's representation of colonial power is ultimately a critique of the authority of dominant historical narratives. The novel reveals that power lies not only in controlling territory but also in controlling the production of knowledge and the representation of the past. Yet the persistence of memory and the possibility of reinterpretation challenge this authority. By bringing attention to the gaps and silences within colonial history, *The Book of Secrets* becomes an important postcolonial text that encourages readers to question established narratives and recognize the complexity of voices that have remained outside official history. Vassanji's novel therefore demonstrates that colonial power, although historically influential, cannot completely control the meanings that later generations create from the past. The continuing process of reading, remembering, and reinterpretation opens space for alternative histories and identities. In this way, the novel makes a valuable contribution to postcolonial literature by questioning the relationship between power and knowledge and by showing that the recovery of forgotten or suppressed perspectives is essential to a fuller understanding of colonial history.

REFERENCES

[1] Ashcroft, Bill, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin. The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2002.

[2] Bhabha, Homi K. The Location of Culture. Routledge, 1994.

[3] Bookman, Ariel. "'Since when has paper any value?': Reading, Materiality, and Meaning in M. G. Vassanji's Fiction." The Journal of Commonwealth Literature, vol. 49, no. 2, 2014.

[4] Fanon, Frantz. The Wretched of the Earth. Translated by Constance Farrington, Grove Press, 1963.

[5] Loomba, Ania. Colonialism/Postcolonialism. 3rd ed., Routledge, 2015.

[6] Pujolràs-Noguer, Esther. "Trauma, History and Desire in the Indian Ocean Imaginary: A Reading of M. G.Vassanji's The Book of Secrets as Material Culture." Eastern African Literary and Cultural Studies, vol. 7, no. 3, 2021, pp. 219–238.Said, Edward W. Culture and Imperialism. Vintage Books, 1994.

[7] Said, Edward W. Orientalism. Vintage Books, 1979.

[8] Toron, Alison. "Refusing to Tell: Gender, Postcolonialism, and Withholding in M. G. Vassanji's The Book of Secrets." Postcolonial Text, vol. 5, no. 3, 2009.

[9] Vassanji, M. G. The Book of Secrets. McClelland & Stewart, 1994.